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The synthesis of the divinylamido PNP nickel(II) complex \[\text{[NiBr(N(CH\text{CHCHP\text{Bu}}_2)]}\] is reported. This compound exhibits reversible, ligand centered oxidation and protonation reactions. The resulting pincer chemical non-innocence can be utilized for benzyl C-H hydrogen atom abstraction. The thermochromism and kinetics of hydrogen atom transfer were examined.

Tridentate, monoanionic ‘pincer-type’ ligands are extensively used in small molecule activation and homogeneous catalysis.\[[1]\] Their popularity is related to the modular steric and electronic properties. Cooperating and redox non-innocent pincer ligands (Scheme 1, A and B) can serve as reversible reservoirs for protons and electrons, respectively, and their use facilitated the remarkable advances in base metal catalysis.\[[2,3]\] The simultaneous accessibility of both redox and proton cooperativity enables concerted proton-electron transfer (CPET), which can be considered as ligand chemical non-innocence (Scheme 1, C).\[[4]\] Such pathways are relevant for ligand centered activation of substrates with unfavorable redox potentials and pKa, such as weakly activated hydrocarbons.\[[5]\]

**Scheme 1. Different modes of metal-ligand cooperative reactivity.**

Systematic examinations of such chemically non-innocent\[[6]\] pincer ligands are rare. Mindiola and co-workers demonstrated ligand redox non-innocence for the nickel pincer complex \[\text{[NiCl(N[2-C_6H_4-5-C_2H_2-P(\text{Pr})_2])]}\] \[[7]\]. But the absence of a basic ligand site prevents ligand centered hydrogen atom transfer (HAT) reactivity. The groups of Mistein and Chirik independently reported HAT from the methylene groups of \[\text{[CoX(NCH=H(C_6H_5)PR_3)]}\] (X = H, CH_3; R = PR_3, Bu_2)\[[8,9]\]. The bond dissociation free energies (BDFEs) of the CH_2 groups were computed to be around 40-50 kcal/mol, suggesting they are not suitable for HAT form substrate C-H bonds.\[[4b]\] While several dialkylamido PNP Ni(II) compounds are known and applied to catalytic processes\[[10]\], we here present an aliphatic analogue of Mindiola’s complex which exhibits pincer centered proton cooperativity, redox non-innocence and chemical non-innocence enabling benzyl C-H HAT reactivity of the pincer.

**Scheme 2.** Synthesis and reactivity of nickel PNP pincer complexes 2-4 and thermochemical relations derived in DMSO.

To enhance the oxidative stability of the pincer ligand framework, the backbone was dehydrogenated with hydrogen acceptor 2,4,6-tert-butylphenoxy radical (TBP). We recently utilized this template ligand synthesis to isolate the divinylamide complex \[\text{[CoCl(N(CH\text{CHP\text{Bu}}_2)]}\] as a...
rare example for a square-planar cobalt(III) complex.\[13\] The reaction of 1 with 4.5 equiv. TBP results in clean formation of nickel(II) divinylamido complex \([\text{NiBr(N(CHCHP} \text{Bu}_{2})_{2}]\) (2) in over 90% isolated yield (Scheme 2). Spectroscopic and crystallographic characterization (Figure 2 and ESI) confirms ligand dehydrogenation (N1–C1 1.3718(16) Å; C1–C2 1.349(2) Å).

Figure 1. Molecular structures of 2 (left), 3\textsuperscript{OTf} (center, OTf anion omitted), and 4\textsuperscript{PF6} (right, PF\textsubscript{6} anion omitted) in the crystal with thermal ellipsoids drawn at the 50% probability level. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (deg) of 2: N1–N1 2.3084(3), N1–P1 2.2368(4), N1–N1 1.8814(15), N1–C1 1.3718(16), C1–C2 1.349(2); P1–N1–N1 83.321(10), N1–N1–Br1 180.0, P1–N1–P1 170 64(2). 3\textsuperscript{OTf}: Ni1–Br1 2.2985(3), N1–P1 2.2216(5), N1–P2 2.2298(5), N1–N1 1.9034(16), N1–C1 1.395(2), N1–C11 1.322(2), C1–C2 1.352(3), C11–C12 1.434(3); P1–N1–N1 86.31(6), P2–N1–N1 85.79(5), N1–N1–Br1 176.25(5), P1–N1–P2 171.78(2), 4\textsuperscript{PF6}: N2–Br2 2.3252(4), N2–P3 2.335(7), N2–P4 2.236(7), N2–N2 1.872(2), N2–C21 1.369(4), N2–C31 1.372(3), C21–C22 1.352(4), C31–C32 1.352(4); P3–N2–N2 86.29(7), P4–N2–N2 86.34(7), N2–N2–Br2 178.55(7), P3–N2–P4 172.62(3).

Oxidation and protonation of 2 were examined independently to estimate the viability for HAT reactivity with the Ni(PNP) platform. Our group previously demonstrated that related vinyl- and divinylamido pincer ligands offer C-basic sites.\[13,14\] Accordingly, reaction of 2 with strong acids like HBF\textsubscript{4} \cdot OEt\textsubscript{2} affords vinyl imine complex \([\text{NiBr(N(CHCHP} \text{Bu}_{2})_{2}](\text{CHCHP} \text{Bu}_{2}))\text{BF}_{4} (3\textsuperscript{PF6})\) in over 80% isolated yield (Scheme 2). Ligand asymmetrization results in two signals in the \(31\text{P}\text{[H]}\) NMR spectrum with mutual trans-coupling \((J_{\text{PP}} = 294 \text{ Hz})\). Protonation in vinylic position is confirmed by single crystal X-ray diffraction of 3\textsuperscript{OTf} (Figure 1), resulting in shortening of the N1–C11 imine double bond (1.322(2) Å) with respect to parent 2. The methylene C–H \(pK_{a} = 0.91\) of 3\textsuperscript{OTf} was derived by \(^{31}\text{P}\)\textsuperscript{1}H NMR titration of 2 with HOTf in d\textsubscript{6}-dmsol (see ESI). Minor amounts of an unidentified side product at \(\delta(31\text{P}) = 58.1\) ppm were also detected in the equilibrium mixture. However, this signal exhibits no cross peaks with 2 and 3\textsuperscript{OTf} in a \(^{31}\text{P}\text{,}^{31}\text{P}\) EXSY spectrum suggesting a negligible effect on the equilibrium constant.

In contrast to 1, the CV of 2 features reversible oxidation at \(E_{1/2} = +0.19\text{ V} \text{ in THF (0.17 V in dmsol)}\) confirming the enhanced oxidative stability of the divinylamido ligand. Chemical oxidation of 2 with AgPF\textsubscript{6} in chlorobenzene allows giving the radical complex \([\text{NiBr(N(CHCHP} \text{Bu}_{2})_{2}])\text{PF}_{6} (4\textsuperscript{PF6})\) in isolated yield around 80% (Scheme 2). The molecular structure of 4\textsuperscript{PF6} in the crystal is very close to that of parent 2 (Figure 1). Notably, the nickel-to-ligand bond distances are almost invariant within error suggesting that oxidation is not purely metal centred. The magnetic moment of 4\textsuperscript{PF6} in solution at room temperature derived by Evans’ method \((\mu = 1.9\mu_{B})\) is in agreement with an S = 1/2 ground state. Hence, no signal was found by \(31\text{P}\) NMR spectroscopy and paramagnetically shifted and broadened signals in the \(\text{H}\) NMR spectrum, respectively. The EPR spectrum of 4\textsuperscript{PF6} in CH\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{2} both at r.t. and in frozen solution (147 K) as well as in the solid state show a broad isotropic signal at \(g = 2.044\) (Figure 2). Hyperfine interaction is not resolved. The \(g\)-value is close to that of the free electron (\(g_{e} = 2.0023\) suggesting spin delocalization onto the pincer ligand. The absorption spectrum in benzene exhibits intense bands at 485 nm \((\epsilon = 2.5 \times 10^{5} \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1})\) with a shoulder at 506 nm and 547 nm \((\epsilon = 1.5 \times 10^{5} \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1})\) in the visible region and a broad NIR band at 1070 nm \((\epsilon = 830 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1})\). The solvatochromic shift using dmsol as solvent \((\Delta \lambda = 80 \text{ nm}, \epsilon = 980 \times 10^{3} \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1})\) indicates charge-transfer character of the NIR band. While parent 2 also exhibits a broad absorption at 483 nm \((\epsilon = 895 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1})\), NIR features are absent. Such bands can be indicative of ligand redox non-innocence, typically originating from low energy \(\pi^{*} \rightarrow \pi^{*}\) or
LLCT transitions, which are spin- and dipole-allowed.[15] For comparison, Mindiola's [NiCl(N(2-C₆H₄-5-CH₃-PPh₃))]+ exhibits an NIR absorption at 872 nm (ε = 1359 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹).[7]

The ligand non-innocence is corroborated by Ni K-edge X-ray absorption spectroscopy (XAS). All three complexes 2-4 exhibit a very similar X-ray absorption near edge structure (XANES) as well as extended X-ray absorption fine structure (EXAFS, see ESI). The XANES edge position is very dependent on the charge distribution in the system as a result from the ligand environment and geometry,[16] indicating that the local Ni electronic and structural properties are almost invariant for 2-4. The very small changes in whiteline shape reflect minor differences in bond lengths and geometry. Furthermore, the distinct feature in the rising edge at 8336 keV for all three compounds, which can in square-planar transition metal complexes directly be attributed to the 1s-to-4p₂ transition (confirmed for 2-4 by XANES simulations; see ESI Figure S16),[17,18,19] supports low spin nickel(II) for all three complexes.
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Figure 3. Experimental and computed UV/Vis/NIR spectra of 4 in benzene. Insert: Calculated difference density of the transition at 1036 nm; blue: positive density; red: negative density).

This interpretation is further backed by DFT computations (see ESI for details). The HOMO of 2 exhibits major contributions from the N and C 3p orbitals in the pincer ligand backbone and a minor, antibonding participation of the nickel dₓz orbital. Upon oxidation the main portion of the atomic spin density of 4+ (Figure 2) is found within the π-system of the divinylamido moiety, and only 20% within the atomic basin of the metal. The computed gₚ value (2.031) is in good agreement with experiment while the small anisotropy of the rhombic g-tensor (Δg = 0.042) is presumably not resolved experimentally due to line broadening. The experimental UV/Vis/NIR-spectrum was nicely reproduced by TD-DFT computations (Figure 3). The density difference plot of the low-energy transition at 1036 nm reflects both Ni→PnP MLCT and Br→PnP LLCT character. Its main contribution arises from electron transfer from a mainly metal d- and bromine p-orbital based spin-orbital to the SOMO (see ESI).

The computed solvatochromic shift for this band (Δλ = 81 nm) is in excellent agreement with experiment.

The driving force of CPET reactions can be estimated from the quantification of redox and protonation equilibria via thermochemical cycles.[20] For example, the bond dissociation free energy of the methylene C–H bonds of 3+ in dmso can be derived from the electrochemical potential of the 2+/4+ redox couple (E° = +0.17 V) and the pKₐ value of 3+ (+0.9). The resulting value (BDFEₐp = 76.3 kcal/mol) is in excellent agreement with our computational estimate (BDFE_DFT = 77.2 kcal/mol). This C–H BDFE suggests that the radical cation 4+ should be competent to homolytically cleave activated C–H bonds, as in benzylic hydrocarbons. This was tested with 9,10-dihydroanthracene (DHA). The C–H BDFE of DHA is close (BDFEₐp = 75.0 kcal/mol in dmso) and proton or electron transfer from DHA to 4+ are thermodynamically not accessible.[21] Accordingly, DHA reacts with 4PF₆ in chlorobenzene at room temperature over the course of some minutes (Scheme 1).

Spectrophotometric determination of the kinetics following the decay of the NIR band of 4PF₆ under pseudo first-order conditions (Figure 4 and ESI) revealed isosbestic points in the UV/Vis region at 328 and 389 nm suggesting the absence of long-lived intermediates in considerable amounts. The kinetic data can be fit to a simple rate law, i.e. first-order in 4PF₆ and DHA (r = -d[4PF₆]/dt = k[4PF₆][DHA]), respectively and the second-order rate constant k = 7.8·10⁻⁶±2.1·10⁻⁴ M⁻¹ s⁻¹ (with k = 2·k_{niCr}). Importantly, besides 3PF₆ and anthracene no other side-products or intermediates were observed by NMR spectroscopy. In comparison, oxidation of benzylic hydrocarbons like DHA with metal-oxo oxidants often gives mixtures of dehydrogenation and oxidation products.[22]
In conclusion, we presented the synthesis of nickel complexes with an unsaturated, aliphatic divinylamido PNP pincer ligand. Predominantly ligand centred one electron oxidation of nickel(II) complex 2 is supported by EPR, UV/Vis/NIR and XAS spectroscopy in combination with DFT computations. While pincer redox non-innocence was previously reported for a related aromatic diarylamido PNP nickel complex,\(^4\) \(4^{PF_6}\) also exhibits facile ligand chemical non-innocence of the pincer backbone. The driving force for HAT was estimated via a thermochemical cycle suggesting the accessibility of benzylic C–H bond activation. This was exemplified by the selective, stoichiometric oxidation of DHA to anthracene and \(3^{PF_6}\). Further mechanistic studies are currently on-going. However, our results emphasize the versatility of functional pincer ligands as building blocks in bond activation and catalysis.
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[6] The term chemical non-innocence is used throughout this paper for ligand centered PCET to distinguish it from pure redox non-innocence (ET) and ligand cooperativity (PT), respectively.


[21] The pKs of DHA (~ 30.1)\(^{1+}\) in DMSO is about 30 orders of magnitude higher than that of 3 and 4 is expected to be even less basic than 2. \(E(DHA^{+/0})\) can be estimated from this value and the reported BDFE indicating that electron transfer is also not accessible.

Proven guilty: The divinylamido PNP nickel(II) platform [NiBr{N(CH2CHP(But)2)]2+ undergoes reversible, ligand centered redox, protonation and hydrogen atom transfer (HAT) reactions. The pincer chemical non-innocence can be utilized for benzylic C-H hydrogen atom abstraction. The HAT thermochemistry and kinetics were examined.